

[17th November 1922]

(4) The capital and recurring charges on the farm have been as follows:—

	Capital charges.			Recurring charges.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Cost of land	28,799	9	9			
1919-20 ..	20,235	7	4	1919-20	..	4,569 6 6
1920-21 ..	12,361	0	0	1920-21	..	5,381 11 4
1921-22 ..	5,337	10	5	1921-22	..	7,060 10 3
Total ..	66,733	11	6	Total ..	17,011	12 1

Report on the amalgamation of Oriya-speaking tracts.

512 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased—

(1) to state whether the report called for by the Government of India with regard to the amalgamation of the Oriya-speaking tracts under one administration, has been received; and,

(2) if so, to place the same on the table?

A.—The hon. Member is referred to G.O. No. 103, Public, dated 4th February 1922, which was placed on the Editors' Table.

Interpretation of rules regarding travelling allowances.

513 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Government have recently passed an order that for the purpose of calculating the travelling allowances of an officer on transfer an adopted son shall not be reckoned as one of the officer's family; and

(2) whether the Government intend to remove the anomaly by revising the order?

A.—The answer to both parts of the question is in the affirmative.

Teachers in the training section of training schools.

514 Q.—Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(1) whether the Government have recently passed orders placing teachers, hitherto in the cadre of supervisors and working in the training section of training schools for masters, in the cadre of certificated teachers of secondary grade;

(2) whether it is a fact that such teachers have not been given the privilege of drawing a local allowance like teachers of the model section of such institutions;

(3) whether it is a fact that the Director of Public Instruction recommended to the Government the payment of salaries at higher rates to such teachers and, if so, what the rate recommended was;

(4) whether it is a fact that the Government pleaded inability to accept the Director's recommendation; and

(5) if so the reasons that led to the non-acceptance of the said recommendation?